)
,
. 07
OF
·
ion and
ion and
ion and erms of
erms of
erms of

("D.I. 326"), to be completed within 120 days, and the parties have agreed that the Court shall

retain jurisdiction to enforce this stipulation of settlement, pending finalization of such amendments and submission of a final order of dismissal to the Court.

The terms of the parties' settlement are as follows:

- 1. D.I. 326 and D.O. 801 shall be modified to eliminate the existing permanent classification of inmates with a death sentence to maximum custody units, and to permit death row inmates to seek and obtain re-classification to close custody status based on the criteria currently available to non-death sentenced maximum custody inmates.
- 2. Death sentenced inmates who are re-classified to close custody status may be housed as a group, rather than with non-death sentenced inmates, provided, however, that nothing herein shall alter existing protocols and procedures relating to protective custody assignments.
- 3. The conditions and restrictions of confinement, and quality of facilities, utilized for close custody housing for death sentenced inmates shall be equivalent to that of existing close custody housing facilities used for non-death sentenced inmates. Further, the facilities housing close custody death sentenced inmates shall provide adequate space for confidential communication with legal counsel. Any additional policies or regulations not otherwise specified herein shall be amended as necessary to implement these conditions.
- 4. D.O. 910 shall be amended to exempt death sentenced inmates classified as close custody from participation in the functional literacy program.

- 5. The amendments to D.I. 326, D.O. 801, and D.O. 910 contemplated herein shall be completed and implemented within one hundred twenty (120) days.
- 6. Plaintiff's current disciplinary record meets the criteria for reclassification to close custody and he shall be reclassified to such status and transferred to such housing upon adoption of the above referenced amendments, and within one hundred twenty (120) days of this stipulation. Nothing in this stipulation shall be interpreted to require Plaintiff to remain classified as a close custody inmate if he no longer meets the requirements for close custody classification.
- 7. This stipulation establishes a binding settlement that may be specifically enforced by the parties through injunctive or other appropriate equitable relief, and resolves Plaintiff's claims regarding his existing conditions of confinement as set forth in the complaint. Nothing herein shall be construed as a future waiver of rights regarding the adequacy of conditions at the facility(ies) to which Plaintiff is transferred and housed in the future.
- 8. The existing scheduling order deadlines established in the matter shall be vacated, and the Court shall set a status conference in approximately one hundred fifty (150) days at which conference the parties shall report to the Court on the status and implementation of the amendments and terms described above, and whether this matter may be dismissed or further enforcement of this settlement is required.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

9. The parties reserve their respective rights and positions with respect to attorneys' fees and costs, which issue shall be separately negotiated and, if no agreement is reached, submitted for resolution by the Court.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3 st day of February, 2017.

ARIZONA CAPITAL REPRESENTATION PROJECT

By: Sam Kooistra

JACKSON & ODEN, P.C.

By: <

Todd Jackson

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Michael Gottfried Assistant Attorney General

By:

Michael E. Gottfried

Attorneys for Defendants

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
2	I hereby certify that on February 3, 2017, I electronically transmitted the		
3			
4	attached document to the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System.		
5	I hereby further certify that on the same date, I served the attached document and		
6	Notice of Electronic Filing by mail on the following, who is not a registered participant of the		
7	CM/ECF System:		
9	Samuel Kooistra		
10	ARIZONA CAPITAL REPRESENTATION PROJECT 101 East Pennington Street, Suite 201		
	Tucson, Arizona 85701		
11	sam@azcapitalproject.org Attorney for Plaintiff		
12			
13	Michael Gottfried, Assistant Attorney General		
14	Neil Singh, Assistant Attorney General		
15	1275 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007		
16	Michael.gottfried@azag.gov		
17	1 22 2		
18	A Phelson		
19	Alyssia Nielson		
20			
21			
22	,		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

HARLES NOTES N

Application of the second second of the second seco